

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/020,461	12/14/2001	Hichem M'Saad	A6123/T43700	9343
32588	7590 06/15/2004		EXAMINER	
APPLIED MATERIALS, INC. 2881 SCOTT BLVD. M/S 2061			HOFFMANN, JOHN M	
	RA, CA 95050		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office A - Company	10/020,461	M'SAAD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John Hoffmann	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	<u> </u>		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	ireply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	en.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
_	action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan		tters, prosecution as to the merits is	e		
closed in accordance with the practice under E			J		
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom consideration.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-42 are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.				
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	,				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		by the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			4)		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			- <i>).</i>		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•	() (-) - : ()			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau		•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list o	f the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Acti	on Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 4061	0		
	-	,	_		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-28, drawn to a method of making a waveguide, classified in class
 subclass 286.
- Claims 29-36, drawn to and apparatus for making a waveguide, classified in class 65, subclass 489.
- III. Claims 37-42, drawn to a storage medium, classified in class 700, subclass 157.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case process can be practice by a materially different apparatus such as one that doesn't have a memory or the claimed controller.

Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice another and materially

Art Unit: 1731

different process, such as scratching one's back or to program a pizza-making computer. It is noted that original telegraph devices used coded sticks (which could be used to scratch one's back). Computers can read a person's face or fingerprints. Although the specification discloses "conventional" medium – there is nothing which limits the scope of "computer-readable storage medium". A computer-can "read" anything – if it is so designed. Claim 37 is deemed to be a single-means claim – which has no structural limitations.

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as a back scratcher or a storage medium for a pizza making machine. See MPEP § 806.05(d). For example – well known computer punch-card. One computer could use a first row of holes to specify a specific pressure, the second row to establish the power density, the third row to establish the silicon gas feed rate, etc. Whereas another computer could use the first row to establish the size of a pizza crust, the second row established the amount of tomato sauce, the third row established the type of cheese, etc. Each computer could read the same punch card, but the punch card would get completely different results in each computer.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1731

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Specie A1: wherein the invention is directed to an HDP electron-cyclotron resonance process (claim 19 for instance); Specie A2: wherein the invention is directed to a HDP chemical vapor deposition process (see claim 20 for instance).

Furthermore there must be a choice between specie B1: wherein the core is an SiON glass (claim 5 for instance) and B2: wherein the core is a P or Ge doped glass (see claim 13 for instance; also, P and G are deemed to be obvious variants of each other).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently at least claims 1, 22, 29 and 37 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that are elected (A1 or A2 as well as B1 or B2; one from each group) consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Art Unit: 1731

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Hoffmann whose telephone number is (571) 272 1191. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00- 3:30.

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6-10-04

Art Unit: 1731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Jo*n*n Honmann Primary Examiner

A枕 Unit 1731

jmh